Office - Supreme Court, U.
FILED
DEC 7 1956
JOHN T. FEY, Clerk

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1956

STATES OF WISCONSIN, MINNESOTA, OHIO and PENNSYLVANIA, *Complainants,* vs. STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO, *Defendants.*	No. 2 Original
STATE OF MICHIGAN, *Complainant,* vs. STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO, et al., *Defendants,* STATES OF MISSOURI, KENTUCKY, TENNESSEE, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, and ARKANSAS, *Intervening Defendants.*	No. 3 Original
STATE OF NEW YORK, *Complainant,* vs. STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO, et al., *Defendants.*	No. 4 Original

REPLY OF THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANA, COMPLAINANT, TO THE PETITION OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS FOR TEMPORARY MODIFICATION OF PARAGRAPH 3 OF DECREE OF APRIL 21, 1930.

HERBERT COHEN,
Attorney General of the
State of Pennsylvania,
Attorney for Complainant.

CHAMPLIN-SHEALY COMPANY, CHICAGO 365

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1956

STATES OF WISCONSIN, MINNESOTA, OHIO and PENNSYLVANIA, *Complainants,* vs. STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO, *Defendants.*	No. 2 Original
STATE OF MICHIGAN, *Complainant,* vs. STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO, et al., *Defendants,* STATES OF MISSOURI, KENTUCKY, TENNESSEE, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, and ARKANSAS, *Intervening Defendants.*	No. 3 Original
STATE OF NEW YORK, *Complainant,* vs. STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO, et al., *Defendants.*	No. 4 Original

REPLY OF THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANA, COMPLAINANT, TO THE PETITION OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS FOR TEMPORARY MODIFICATION OF PARAGRAPH 3 OF DECREE OF APRIL 21, 1930.

To the Honorable

THE CHIEF JUSTICE AND ASSOCIATE JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES:

The State o. Pennsylvania, one of the complainants in this cause, presents this, its reply, to the petition filed by the State of Illinois, requesting temporary modification of the decree of this Court entered on April 21, 1930, which prohibits diversion of water from the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence system or watershed in excess of 1500 cubic feet per second, in addition to domestic pumpage, by the State of Illinois or the Sanitary District of Chicago.

The State of Pennsylvania recognizes the existence of the emergency conditions on the Mississippi River as set forth in the aforesaid petition filed by the State of Illinois. Without waiving any position which it has heretofore taken respecting the injurious or detrimental effect of excessive diversion of water from the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence system, and expressly reserving the right to take such position in any future proceedings concerning this subject, the State of Pennsylvania interposes no objection to the temporary modification of the Court's decree as requested in the petition of the State of Illinois.

Respectfully submitted,

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

By HERBERT B. COHEN,
Attorney General of the
State of Pennsylvania
Attorney for Complainant.

By LOIS G. FORER,
Deputy Attorney General

State of Pennsylvania }
Philadelphia County } ss:

Lois G. Forer, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that she is a duly appointed and acting Deputy Attorney General in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; that she has read the foregoing reply; and that the matters and things therein set forth are true in substance and in fact according to information and belief. Deponent further certifies that copies of the foregoing reply have this day been mailed by first class United States mail, or United States airmail, to all parties to this proceeding.

.....

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16th day of November, A. D. 1956.

.....

Notary Public.